

Appln. No. 10/672,543  
Amdt. dated August 2, 2005  
Reply to Office Action of July 18, 2005

### REMARKS

Claims 11-20 and 26-38 remain in the application.

Claims 11-20, 26-28, 30 and 31 are allowed.

Allowable claims 2-5 and 7-9 have been rewritten in independent form as claims 32-38 and are now in condition for allowance. A cross-reference table from allowable claim number to new claim number follows:

<u>Allowable Claim No.</u>	<u>New Claim No.</u>
2	32
3	33
4	34
5	35
7	36
8	37
9	38

Claim 29 has been amended to correct the dependency as the claim should have been dependent on allowed claim 26 and is now in condition for allowance. The erroneous dependency made claim 29 identical to claim 6.

Paragraph 7 on page 4 of the Office Action indicates allowability of claims 2-5 and 7-9. Therefore, paragraph 2 on page 2 indicating rejection of claims 1-6 is believed to be in error and should have read "1, 6." None of claims 2-5 are mentioned in the body of the rejection. Also, the rejection in paragraph 2 clearly was intended to include claim 29 which is mentioned in the body of the rejection.

Appln. No. 10/672,543  
Amdt. dated August 2, 2005  
Reply to Office Action of July 18, 2005

This application is now in condition for allowance and an early notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

  
H. Duane Switzer  
Reg. No. 22,431  
Jones Day  
North Point  
901 Lakeside Avenue  
Cleveland, OH 44114-1190  
216-586-7283